Ex Parte Reexamination Interview Summary	Control No.	Patent Under Reexamination
	09/508,527	ITAI, AKIKO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Young J. Kim	1637
All participants (USPTO personnel, patent owner, patent owner's representative):		
(1) Young J. Kim	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Sean Rider</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2003</u>		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal (copy given to: 1)□ patent owner 2)□ patent owner's representative)		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)$ was reached. g was not reached. h D		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants proposed possible claim amendment to the base claim 1 in order to overcome the 102(b) rejection made in the previous Office Action mailed on March 11, 2003. Examiner agreed that the amendment would overcome the 102(b) rejection. Claims drawn to database were agreed to be canceled.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP § 2281). IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO PROVIDE THE MANDATORY STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (37 CFR 1.560(b)). THE REQUIREMENT FOR PATENT OWNER'S STATEMENT CAN NOT BE WAIVED. EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c) .		
		·
D. wastes (If II ' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
cc: Requester (if third party requester)	Examiner's sign:	ature, it required